



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/887,853

06/21/2001

L. L. Houston

PP00926.106

9213

7590

08/27/2004

2300-0926.05

EXAMINER

HELMS, LARRY RONALD

Joseph H. Guth, Esq.

CHIRON CORPORATION

Intellectual Property - R440

P.O. Box 8097

Emeryville, CA 94662-8097

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1642

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/887,853

Applicant(s)

HOUSTON ET AL.

Examiner

Larry R. Helms

Art Unit

1642

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 June 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 33,42,43 and 50-79 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 42,43 and 50-72 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 33 and 73-79 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/21/01, 7/14/03.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 33 and 73-79 in the reply filed on 6/21/04 is acknowledged.
2. Claims 42-43, 50-72, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 6/21/04.
3. Claims 33 and 73-79 are under examination.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. The first line of the specification should indicate application 09/558,741 is abandoned.

Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The IDS filed 6/21/01 has been considered but the date of reference CCCC is needed to complete the reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1642

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 75-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a. Claims 75-79 are indefinite for reciting "wherein the coding sequence encodes a first polypeptide" in claim 75 because it is unclear if the coding sequence encodes the first and second polypeptide or only the first polypeptide or the entire polypeptide of claim 33?

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. Claims 33, 73-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated polypeptide that binds c-erbB-2 comprising the 3 CDRs of the residues 31-35, 50-66, 99-104 of SEQ ID NO:6 and the 3 CDRs of residues 157-167, 183-189, 222-230 of SEQ ID NO:6, does not reasonably provide enablement for a polypeptide comprising just any CDR from SEQ ID NO:6 or any CDR that is 70% or 90% to any of the CDRs in SEQ ID NO:6 or any polypeptide that comprises any CDR that is 70 or 90% and does not bind c-erbB-2 antigen. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with

Art Unit: 1642

which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986). They include the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the amount of direction or guidance disclosed in the specification, the presence or absence of working examples, the predictability or unpredictability of the art, the breadth of the claims, and the quantity of experimentation which would be required in order to practice the invention as claimed.

The claims are broadly drawn to antibodies that do not comprise a full set of CDRs from SEQ ID NO:6 or antibodies that do not bind c-erbB-2 or antibodies that have the CDRs from a light chain and a heavy chain in unspecified order or antibodies that are 70% or 90% to the CDRs in SEQ ID NO:6.

The specification teaches the 520c9 single chain antibody of SEQ ID NO:6 and binds c-erbB-2 antigen (see page 46). The specification does not teach any other antibody with CDRs of 70% or 90% or antibodies that do not contain the full set of CDRs of SEQ ID NO:6 that bind c-erbB-2 antigen.

It is well established in the art that the formation of an intact antigen-binding site generally requires the association of the complete heavy and light chain variable regions of a given antibody, each of which consists of three CDRs which provide the majority of the contact residues for the binding of the antibody to its target epitope. The amino acid sequences and conformations of each of the heavy and light chain CDRs

Art Unit: 1642

are critical in maintaining the antigen binding specificity and affinity which is characteristic of the parent immunoglobulin. It is expected that all of the heavy and light chain CDRs in their proper order and in the context of framework sequences which maintain their required conformation, are required in order to produce a protein having antigen-binding function and that proper association of heavy and light chain variable regions is required in order to form functional antigen binding sites. Even minor changes in the amino acid sequences of the heavy and light variable regions, particularly in the CDRs, may dramatically affect antigen-binding function as evidenced by Rudikoff et al (Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1982 Vol 79 page 1979). Rudikoff et al. teach that the alteration of a single amino acid in the CDR of a phosphocholine-binding myeloma protein resulted in the loss of antigen-binding function.

In addition, the art recognizes that even conservative substitutions in a CDR can abolish binding as evidenced from Colman (Research in Immunology 145:33-36, 1994) see page 35.

It is unlikely that antibodies as defined by the claims which may contain less than the full complement of CDRs from the heavy and light chain variable regions of SEQ ID NO:6 in unspecified order, or CDRs that are 70% or 90% to those of SEQ ID NO:6 have the required binding function. The specification provides no direction or guidance regarding how to produce antibodies as broadly defined by the claims. Undue experimentation would be required to produce the invention commensurate with the scope of the claims from the written disclosure alone.

Art Unit: 1642

Therefore, in view of the lack of guidance in the specification and in view of the discussion above one of skill in the art would be required to perform undue experimentation in order to practice the claimed invention.

Priority

10. It is acknowledged that this application claims priority as a CIP to 07/831,967, however, this application was not available for inspection. As such the priority for the claims is granted 10/07/93. It is also acknowledged that the oath/declaration indicates that PCT US93/01055 was filed 2/5/93 but does not claim priority to this PCT application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

12. Claims 33, 73-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Huston et al (WO 93/16185, published 8/19/93).

The claims are summarized as a polypeptide comprising CDRs that are at least 70% identical to the CDRs of SEQ ID NO:6 wherein the polypeptide binds c-erbB-2 and the frameworks are of a human myeloma antibody.

Art Unit: 1642

Huston et al teach the 520C9 antibody which comprises the CDRs that are at least 705 identical to the CDRs of SEQ ID NO:6 and the framework can be from a human antibody (see SEQ ID NO:10).

Conclusion

13. No claim is allowed.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry R. Helms, Ph.D, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffery Siew, can be reached at (571) 272-0787.

15. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Fax Center telephone number is 703-872-9306.


Larry R. Helms

Application/Control Number: 09/887,853

Page 8

Art Unit: 1642

571-272-0832



LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER